

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/557,878	04/24/2000	Mike Jensen	SOPP 301B 8914		
23581	7590 10/01/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.			VAN DOREN, BETH		
	MHILL STREET		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	D + DED > T D + DED	
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND,	OR 97204		3623		
				DATE MAN ED 10/01/0004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		als.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/557,878	JENSEN, MIKE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Beth Van Doren	3623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08/09	<u>//04</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 10-12</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attack-mant/a)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/557,878 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a final office action in response to communications received 08/09/04. Claims 1, 3, and 7-9 have been canceled. Claim 4 has been amended. Claims 10-12 have been added. Claims 4 and 10-12 are now pending in this office action.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's cancellation of claims 1, 3, and 7-9 and amendment to claim 4 is not sufficient to overcome the objections as to being allowable subject matter dependent upon a rejected base claim because the applicant has not properly rewritten the allowable subject matter of claims 1 and 4 in independent form <u>including all</u> of the <u>limitations</u> of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/557,878

Art Unit: 3623

Claims 4, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Garber et al. (U.S. 5,752,054).

Page 3

4. As per claim 4, Garber et al. teaches a computer-implemented process of reporting safety information workstation, comprising the steps of:

creating a system database stored in computer memory, the system database including a plurality of defined lists of entries for selected variables and a plurality of defined formats for selected incident reports (See at least column 2, lines 21-38);

of more of the defined lists in the system database, and inserting the selected entry or entries into a data record (See at least column 8, lines 44-53); and

creating an incident report by:

selecting one of the defined formats from the system database (See at least column 8, line 41-column 9, lines 20);

extracting and manipulating information from the incident database as defined in the selected format (See at least column 8, line 41-column 9, lines 20); and

producing the report on a computer output medium (See at least column 9, lines 21-34); wherein the plurality of defined formats include government report (See at least figures 27, 36, and 38, column 1, lines 40-60, column 2, lines 20-45, column 3, lines 5-40, column 9, lines 21-34, column 19, lines 10-40, wherein the format is a governmental report).

5. As per claim 10, Garber et al. teaches the steps of using a general-purpose computer (See at least figure 1, column 1, lines 55-60, column 8, line 41-column 9, lines 20).

Application/Control Number: 09/557,878 Page 4

Art Unit: 3623

6. As per claim 11, Garber et al. teaches the plurality of defined formats include OSHA report (See at least figures 27, 36, and 38, column 1, lines 19-35 and 40-60, column 2, lines 20-45, column 3, lines 5-40, column 9, lines 21-34, column 19, lines 10-40).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's statements with regards to the rejections set forth in the previous office action have been considered, but they are not persuasive. Applicant has stated that amended claim 4 is consistent with the requirement of the previous office action and that claim 4 is now allowable.

Examiner points out that claim 4 was objected to in the previous office action as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable <u>if rewritten in independent form</u> including <u>all of the limitations</u> of the base claim. Examiner points out that the amendments to claim 4 that broaden the term "OSHA 200" to "government" does not satisfy the requirement because the new claim 4 currently presented is not the previously presented claim 4 in independent form with all the limitations of the base claim. Therefore, the Applicant has not properly responded to Examiner's objection to allowable subject matter and new art rejections and objections have been set forth above, as necistated by amendment.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Beth Van Doren whose telephone number is (703) 305-3882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (703) 305-9643. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 09/557,878

Art Unit: 3623

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bvd

September 24, 2004

TARIOR. HAFIZ

Page 6

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600